

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,686	INNO, TOSHIFUMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara L. Gilliam	1752	

All Participants:

(1) Barbara L. Gilliam.

(2) Craig McCrobbie.

Status of Application: After-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2006

Time: 11:30 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed an amendment to independent Claims 1 and 3 to obviate 112, 2nd paragraph issues. Mr. McCrobbie was unable to authorize the amendment without approval from the Applicant.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)